Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/623,569	MIYANO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Anish Desai	1771
Il Participants: Status of Application: Request for Continued Examination (RCE)		
(1) <u>Anish Desai</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Keiko Takagi</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 29 September 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: Claims 1 and 13		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
Anish P. Dosgi		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	t/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner informed applicant's representative Ms. Keiko Takagi on 09/29/29 that claim 1 would be allowable if the claim 1 is amended to incorporate the language of the claim 13. Ms. Keiko Takagi informed the examiner on 10/02/06 that the applicant does not want to amend the claim 1 as suggested by the examiner and the applicant requests the examiner to issue another Office Action.